**IMPERIAL VALLEY COLLEGE**

**Student Learning Outcomes (SLO) Assessment Cycle Form – Phase I**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date: | SPRING 2010 (6/8/2010) |  |  |
| Department Name: | NURSING |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Course Number/Title or Program Title: |  VN 132 COMMOM HEALTH PROBLEMS III |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Contact Person/Others Involved in Process: | Lead: Brenda Sue Higgins Others: Craig Luoma |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| If course is part of a major(s), and/or certificate program(s), please list all below:  |  |  |  |  |
| Major(s): | Certificate(s): |  |  |  |  |  |
| NURSING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Does course satisfy a community college GE requirement(s)?  |  | Yes  | X | No  |  | N/A |

If yes, check which requirement(s) below:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|   | American Institutions |  | Language and Rationality – English Composition |
|  | Health Education |  | Language and Rationality – Communication and Analytical Thinking |
|  | Physical Education / Activity |  | Natural Science |
|  | Math Competency |  | Humanities |
|  | Reading Competency |  | Social and Behavioral Sciences |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **Student Learning Outcome** | **Assessment Tool**(e.g., exam, rubric, portfolio) | **Institutional Outcome\***(e.g., ISLO1, ISLO2) |
|  | **Example:** Identify, create, critique, and refute oral and written arguments. | Debate + Debate rubric | ISLO1, ISLO2 |
|  | Outcome 1:Using appropriate data collection techniques & instruments, perform a neurological assessment on an adult or pediatric patient and correctly document information | Clinical Evaluation Tool | ISLO 1,2,3,&4 |
|  | Outcome 2:Provide nursing care that reflects research into patient/clients medical diagnosis, treatment and care. | Clinical Evaluation Tool | ISLO 1,2,3,& 4 |
|  | Outcome 3:Paying particular attention to the integumentary system demonstrate critical thinking and judgement in clinical decision making | Clinical Evaluation Tool | ISLO 1,2,3,4,5 |
|  | Outcome 4:Student will show NCLEX readiness by passing the ATI Comprehensive Predictor which measures assessment across the lifespan, with a 75 % or better | ATI Comprehensive Exam | ISLO 1,2,3,4,5 |
|  | Outcome 5:Complete an abdominal assessment on a Medical/Surgical patient in the proper sequence using Universal Precautions | Skill Check –Off form | ISLO 1,2,3,4,5 |

**Each SLO should describe the knowledge, skills, and/or abilities students will have after successful**

**completion of course or as a result of participation in activity/program.** A minimum of one SLO is required

per course/program. You may identify more than one SLO, but please note that you will need to collect and

evaluate data for each SLO that you list above. Attach separate pages if needed. *For assistance contact: Toni Pfister* *toni.pfister@imperial.edu* *or X6546*

**\*Institutional Student Learning Outcomes: ISLO1** = communication skills; I**SLO2** = critical thinking skills;

**ISLO3** = personal responsibility; I**SLO4** = information literacy; I**SLO5** = global awareness

**Student Learning Outcomes (SLO) Assessment Cycle Form – Phase II**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1. Course Number & Date of Assessment Cycle Completion**  | **Course:** VN132 / CRN 20968 **Date:6/08/10** |
| **2. People involved in summarizing and evaluating data** | SUE HIGGINS / CRAIG LUOMA |
| **3. Data Results**Briefly summarize the results of the data you collected. | **Outcome 1: OUT OF 17 STUDENTS 17 MET EXPECTED GOALS WITH 100% AS INDICATED ON THE CLINICAL EVALUATION TOOL.****Outcome 2: SAME AS ABOVE****Outcome 3: SAME AS ABOVE****Outcome 4: RESULTS SHOW ONLY ONE STUDENT OUT OF 17 SCORED AT 75% SHOWING HIGH PROBABILITY FOR PASSING NCLEX .****Outcome 5: OUT OF 17 STUDENTS A TOTAL OF 17 SUCCESSFULLY MET EXPECTED OUTCOME AS INDICATED ON THE CLINICAL CHECK OFF FORM.** |
| **4. Course / Program Improvement**Please describe what change(s) you plan to implement based on the above results. | WILL CONTINUE OUTCOMES AS LISTED ABOVE WITH NEXT COHORT. IN ORDER TO IMPROVE OUTCOME # 5 STUDENTS WILL BE STRONGLY ENCOURAGE TO PARTICIPATE IN VIRTUAL ATI (AMERICAL TESTING INSTITUTE.) **\*\*Will this include a change to the curriculum (i.e. course outline)? \_NO\_\_**  |
| **5. Next Year** Was the process effective? Will you change the outcome/ assessment for next year? (e.g., alter the SLO, assessment, faculty discussion process, strategy for providing SLO to student)? If so, how? | THE PROCESS IS EFFECTIVE AS CURRENTLY IMPLEMENTED AND NO CHANGES ARE ANTICIPATED.  |
| **6. After-Thoughts** Feel free to celebrate, vent, or otherwise discuss the process. |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

**The ASSESSMENT CYCLE: Closing the Assessment Loop**

You may elaborate as much as you need to in order to complete this form. Instructions are on the following page.

1. Please list the course number. In case page 1 is separated from page 2, this will help with

organization. Please include the date that assessment cycle was fully completed.

1. To encouWrage collaboration and the sharing of ideas, each form must be completed by at least

two people. If you are the only one teaching the course, you are encourage to share your data

results and improvement methods with at least one other staff or faculty member. Please list

the names of all faculty, staff, and students who were involved in summarizing or evaluating

the data. These names may be the same or different than those on the original SLO ID form.

1. Your original data results, or your raw data, should be kept within your department for three

years. At this time you do not need to submit the raw data, but please keep it for future quality

control measures. Please summarize the data that you collected. You should include how well

students scored on the assessment. You might also include: how many instructors submitted

data(full-time, part-time); the type of data that was submitted (rubric scores, practical test

results, etc); and, if appropriate, if a cross-section of classes (day, evening, online) were

assessed. If a rubric was used, you might discuss the number of students who scored 1, 2, 3,

or 4, for example, on the rubric.

1. This is an opportunity to have a rich discussion with others involved in education. Please

describe any changes that can be made based on the data. Changes might be made to class

activities, assignment instructions, topics taught in class, or the course outline of record, etc.

You might include when the changes will be implemented and, if a comparison is to be made,

when the next round of data will be collected (e.g. Fall 2009).

Then, answer “Yes” or “No” to the curriculum question – no explanations required but please

answer the question.

1. This may provide an opportunity to discuss what went well and what could be improved.

If the SLO needs to be tweaked or more outcomes/assessments need to be included you might

want to do that now while the information is fresh. This may allow faculty to modify SLO(s)

for next year and be prepared to include them on next year’s syllabus.

1. Please share your thoughts, feelings, and ideas on IVC’s SLO process thus far.

When completed, please forward to SLO Coordinator and the designee in your department. Thanks.