Student Learning Outcomes Committee Meeting April 25, 2012 (Approved on May 9, 2012)

MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:

Toni Pfister Sydney Rice Lisa Solomon Daniel Gilison Romano Sanchez Dominguez Frank Hoppe

Sidne Horton MaryAnn Smith

Motion to Approve Minutes from 3/14/12 with amendments. Solomon/Gilison

Mission statement – some committees don't have mission statement. Gilison states that part of the reason that we have to have this is for accreditation. This deals with, and ties to, shared governance. Therefore, we need to look at our SLO mission statement to make sure that we are lining up IVC's Mission Statement. Pfister asks if we want to tie that to our IVC mission statement. Solomon feels that our mission statement still fits, though it might need to be expanded to include other areas. Horton suggests that we postpone further discussion until fall when there is a new coordinator. Signs for the new classrooms have been ordered.

Pfister is stepping down to move to a position in San Diego. She still wants to be able to finish the reports and put all the information from her head down on paper. She needs to do a PLO report and a SAO report. She plans to work 5 weeks, but it will be better defined after she meets with VP Berry on 04/26/12.

Pfister offered to speak with people who are interested in the SLO coordinator position. The position isn't open specifically to just teaching faculty so she will send out an announcement to all teaching/non-teaching faculty. Gilison states that the position will be decided on next week at the Academic Senate meeting.

Frank Hoppe attended SLO workshop in San Diego. We were invited as part of the Community College Media Consortium. Several tips included (specifically for libraries) how do you have an SLO to measure how effective library instruction is? An example would be: How many citations are there in a paper from library sources? This would allow you to quantify the data. Another suggestion is to tie SLOs to Basic Skills. The other college indicated that there could possibly be available funding. Hoppe will send out the link to the site that has all the presentations that were done. He also stated that there was a video available.

Promoting SLOs around campus

a. Student Promotion – no discussion has taken place. Solomon, Horton, and Smith suggest that the discussion take place in the fall.

b. Faculty/Staff Promotion – Science: Eddie Chang won. Gilison said that since Eddie did his SLO presentation, the group decided to give the reward to him. Toni likes to have everything documented. Business will probably hold their raffle next week.

Cycle Assessments

Pfister finished the Cycle Assessment report. They will get it on the website. She's given it to the EMP committee and the CART committee.

#7 – Toni completed it and gave it.

WASC

All schools are supposed to reach proficiency on SLOs by 2012. IVC will need their report in by March 2013. They want us to prove that we've reached proficiency. This date meets up with the accreditation. The report includes a lot of documents and other evidence. Pfister will email WASC instruction and SLO Cycle Assessment Report. She says that the next coordinator should read it and do it. Hoppe asks if there will be an electronic copy of the WASC instruction. Pfister said that they just got it last week, and that it will be put out on the website so that people will know what will happen. Toni is trying to put a lot of information in the dropbox for accreditation.

Open Discussion

Other campus for accreditation? Solomon spoke to Viki Herder at Taft College. They did get off warning status, but not for SLO. But because of their SLO status, they might go back to warning. Herder says that they still have many SLOs and PLOs that are not assessed. She hasn't talked to anyone who is at proficiency or sustainability.

Pfister says she get program level and area level outcomes out on the web. Gilison suggests that PLOs can be done at the Professional Development Day in June. Pfister suggests having people review PLO data that they've collected over the year, review mission statements and the PLOs that have already been written, and then put the information on the web.

Other

Gilison asks about dates for Fall. Since there is a new college hour time, do we want to continue to meet at this time? Or would it be better to move it? Solomon states that it would be better to go with the college hour. Pfister suggests that the meeting time be decided in the fall. She says that there will be one more meeting, May 9, during Finals Week. Do we want to keep that date? Solomon suggests keeping the meeting so that we can meet the new SLO Coordinator.