

### **3. Smoke Free Campus – Travis Gregory and Chantilee Mendenhall**

Travis Gregory indicated this is more of an informational item and request for feedback. ASG President Mendenhall asked him for administrative support regarding a smoke free campus. He stated at the next Board meeting there will be a written proposal. He stated there are different versions of these types of programs, and asked if anyone had feedback or input to send it to him.

ASG President Mendenhall stated that she has asked Region X campuses to send her information as to what their policies are.

Member Seivertson asked about the survey that was done three or four years ago. He indicated he still had the packet.

ASG President Mendenhall indicated there will be another survey done.

Dr. Gould stated that anti-smoking is not just a student issue. He suggested everyone should be taking these issues back to their group and getting some feedback from the staff and faculty, so that when ASG President Mendenhall performs this survey there is either support or no support from this campus.

Member Lopez stated one of the issues that arose a few years ago was when it came to enforcement of the staff.

VP Berry stated one of the committees was in support of a smoke free campus and believed it was College Council.

Dr. Gould stated the smoke free campus would likely become a board policy.

Travis Gregory stated he found that other colleges have done the same and gone through the process.

### **4. Task Group to review Shared Governance Committees, Recommending Process, and Planning – Dr. Ed Gould**

Dr. Gould indicated there has been a lot of talk about shared governance. He discussed the current responsibilities of the committee and stated he would be recommending a structure change to ensure shared governance. He stated a structure is being vetted and looking at planning and business as separate entities and having Technology report to the College Council. He stated he would like to have a task force react to the plan. He stated there would be a dual structure to the shared governance, with the Academic Senate on one side. He stated he would request that both groups share information across channels and both groups could recommend to the Board through the President.

VP Kathy Berry stated that the consultants noticed that we have done a lot of planning in the past and that was one of their major criticisms of accreditation, stating that the committees do not share information. She stated there have been three different models on how to restructure the shared governance committees so that they communicate with each other and share information.

Dr. Gould stated that one of the issues is dealing with the shared governance process as it does not reflect the shared governance process that the accreditation team would like us to have for accreditation.

**5. Task Group to identify processes in need of improvement – Dr. Ed Gould**

Dr. Gould stated there needs to be a channel where processes are identified and improvements made on campus. He gave the example of human resources. He stated that he had heard the hiring process was taking long, but he had not heard anybody say what to do about the process. He stated he would take responsibility for taking a process through the administrative channels and ask administrators to bring back a process improvement recommendation. He provided another example of students having to make multiple stops to register instead of a one-stop center. He commented that for returning students that know the process, it is easier than for those that are here for their first time.

**6. Committee Reports to Council – Dr. Ed Gould**

Dr. Gould stated the sub-committees of the College Council should be reporting to the College Council so everyone is aware of the recommendations.

Chair Abarca stated discussions have begun on how to get the information to College Council. He stated he would meet with Dr. Gould to discuss further.

**7. Recommendation process to the President and Feedback from the President – Dr. Ed Gould**

Dr. Gould stated his commitment is that if a recommendation is given to him, he will get a response back at the committee meeting, and if it needs to go to the Board, even if there is a difference of opinion, all thoughts will go through to the Board. He stated shared governance deserves the respect.

**8. Notable accomplishments and “catching colleagues doing good” – Dr. Ed Gould**

Dr. Gould stated that at his State of the College address he asked to be informed of notable accomplishments and catching colleagues doing something good and since then he has only received one item. He indicated when staff does something good it is an opportunity to send a GEM, include their name in the FOCUS newsletter and it is a great way to make a colleague feel good about what they do for students.

Member Bruce Seivertson asked if these items will be back.

Dr. Gould indicated he would be glad to return them as action items.

**ACTION ITEMS**

**Support of Feasibility Study for new Student Center – John Abarca**